

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,918	10/13/2004	Jeffrey A. Tarvin	101.0166 5917		
	7590 12/21/200 [WAY LIMITED	EXAMINER			
GAMMA HOU	SE, ENTERPRISE RO	DITRANI, ANGELA M			
	SCIENCE PARK DN, HAMPSHIRE, 80	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
UNITED KINGDOM			3676		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D/	. VC	12/21/2006	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/711,91	8	TARVIN ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Angela M.	DiTrani	3676					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be time. I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE!	1. the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
′=		This action is no	n-final						
3)	,								
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
· _		ation			•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
'=	Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election rea	uiromont						
0)23	ciami(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction are	d/or election req	Jilement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/711,918

Art Unit: 3676

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-48, drawn to analyzing distributed temperature data from a well, classified in class 166, subclass 250.01.
- II. Claims 49-62 and 73-94, drawn to determining a flow rate based on an established relationship between temperature characteristics and flow rates in wells that have a gas lift system, classified in class 166, subclass 372.
- III. Claims 63-72, drawn to determining a flow rate by providing a model relating the flow rate to the decay length or amplitude of a thermal perturbation at a gas injection location by measuring temperatures along the well and applying the model to the measured temperatures in order to determine the flow rate based on the decay length or amplitude of the thermal pertubation, classified in class 702, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are distinct in that I is specifically drawn to analyzing temperature data taken from a well by first obtaining temperature profile data along the well and then providing that data to a processor for automatic processing. Invention II is drawn to a method of determining a flow rate of a production fluid in a well that has a gas lift

Page 3

system hased on a temperature n

system based on a temperature profile and well parameters. Invention III is drawn to a method of determining a flow rate by providing a well model relating the flow rate of a production fluid to a decay length or amplitude of a thermal pertubation.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Jaime Castano on 12/13/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela M. DiTrani whose telephone number is (571) 272-2182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30AM to 5:00 PM

Application/Control Number: 10/711,918

Art Unit: 3676

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AD UD 12/15/06

PRHILANDS AND PRINTER